



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/874,550	06/04/2001	Tony Kroeker	AMAT/2640.C1/ATD/BG	6635	
32588	7590 08/06/2003				
APPLIED MATERIALS, INC.			EXAMINER		
	BLVD. M/S 2061 RA, CA 95050		BRATLIE, S	BRATLIE, STEVEN A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3652	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			DATE MAILED: 08/06/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Application No. Examinary Group Art Unit 36.5.2				/ \	1					
Period for Reply ASHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE		Application No.	Applicant(s)							
Period for Reply ASHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE	Office Action Summary	814350	KROEKE							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE	omeo Asiion Summary			1/ 1	1.					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE		BRAIL	12 3632	4	Λ					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE	-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet b	eneath the correspondence a	dares 5	- M					
FTHIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time ray be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If No period for reply specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Fautre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Status Responsive to communication(s) filled on	Period for Reply	_		4	- 1					
Into the making date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, is six than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on		EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAI	LING DA	TE \					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.									
This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims Claim(s)	Status									
This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims Claim(s)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	3	•							
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims Claim(s)				·•						
Is/are pending in the application. Of the above claim(s)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except fo	r formal matters, prose C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	ecution as to the merits is clo	sed in						
Is/are pending in the application. Of the above claim(s)	Disposition of Claims									
Same withdrawn from consideration. is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are rejected. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. is/are objected to. claim(s) are subject to restriction or election requirement. are subject to restriction or election requirement. The proposed drawing correction, filed on same objected to by the Examiner. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Aknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Other	•	,								
Claim(s)	Of the above claim(a)	is/are pending in the app	lication.							
Claim(s) 46			nsideratio	on.						
Claim(s)	\(\text{Claim(s)}\)	is/are allowed.								
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The proposed drawing correction, filed on	, ,									
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The proposed drawing correction, filed on		is/are objected to.								
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The proposed drawing correction, filed on	.,		or electio	n						
The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). All			•							
The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Other □										
The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: □ Attachment(s) Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Other □ Other □										
□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Other □		I to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s) Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Other										
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: □ Attachment(s) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). □ □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Other □ 	•									
□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Other										
□ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). *Certified copies not received:	□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the									
*Certified copies not received:										
Attachment(s) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 ☐ Other	\square received in this national stage application from the Intern	ational Bureau (PCT R	ule 1 7.2(a)).							
□ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Other □	*Certified copies not received:									
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Other □	Attachment(s)									
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Other □	☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	s) 🗆 In	terview Summary, PTO-413							
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Other				ion, PTO	-152					
	☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948									
	Office A									

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

*U.S. GPO: 1997-433-221/62717

Part of Paper No. 15

Application/Control Number: 09/874,550 Page 2

Art Unit: 3652

1. Applicant's arguments filed May 5, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants' remarks are not understood. Claims 65-68, 70, and 71 do not require the transfer robot in the load lock. With respect to the transfer robot being in the load lock, such an arrangement is shown in Figs. 12(a) and (b) of the primary reference Japanese Patent #10-50802.

2. Claims 48-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 48, 49, and 50 are dependent upon cancelled claim 47.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 46, 48-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent #1050802 in view of Kuriki et al. White et al, Edwards et al, and Tanaka. Japanese Patent #1050802 discloses a processing system with a single load

lock #52 for each processing chamber #56. Japanese Patent #1050802 lacks a parallel system, a movable lid and lift pins. Kuriki et al (Fig. 8) and White et al disclose load locks in parallel system. Edwards, et al disclose the use of a movable lid #52, while Tanaka discloses the use of lift pins #38. It would have been obvious to a mechanic with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide these features to the primary reference. The motivation is to increase through put.

Page 3

- 6. Applicant's terminal disclaimer (paper #5 page 8) has not been filed.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Bratlie whose telephone number is (703) 308-2669. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:30 to 5:00. Friday is the examiner's day off.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-4177.

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3652

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Bratlie/kn August 4, 2003

STEVEN A. BRATLIE

Steven a. Brathe